

Enhanced Desorption of Graphene-Supported Platinum-Based Catalysts

Teroch Lazraoui, Elmunsef Alfasi

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, Fez City, MOROCCO

Abstract

In this work, using epitaxial graphene as a supporting material for the platinum-based catalysts used for electrochemical energy storage is presented. The epitaxy of graphene support layer was carried out by UV laser irradiation of graphene buffer layer on silicon carbide surface. Results showed that when platinum coverage increases, the available graphene surface area decreases, and the growth mechanism shifts toward nucleation on existing clusters. As well, the desorption spectra of deuterium on platinum clusters on graphene exhibit a peak at around 185°C, followed by a broad shoulder with an increasing signal. As platinum coverage increases, the peak at 185°C becomes more pronounced.

Keywords: Silicon nitride; Thin films; Reactive sputtering; Nanostructures

Received: 15 January 2025; **Revised:** 02 March 2025; **Accepted:** 17 March 2025; **Published:** 1 April 2025

1. Introduction

Energy storage plays a critical role in modern energy systems, enabling the efficient use of renewable resources like solar and wind [1]. By capturing energy when production exceeds demand and releasing it when needed, storage systems help stabilize power grids and enhance reliability [2]. Technologies like batteries, pumped hydro, and thermal storage are widely used, with lithium-ion batteries being the most prominent due to their high energy density and efficiency [3,4]. Emerging innovations, including solid-state batteries and hydrogen storage, promise to further transform the sector [5]. As energy demands grow and the shift toward renewable sources accelerates, energy storage will remain essential for a sustainable future [6].

Electrochemical energy storage plays a crucial role in modern energy systems, offering efficient and sustainable solutions for storing and utilizing energy [7]. It involves the conversion of electrical energy into chemical energy, which can be stored and later converted back to electricity when needed [8]. Common technologies include batteries (e.g., lithium-ion, sodium-ion), supercapacitors, and fuel cells [9-11]. These systems are integral to renewable energy integration, electric vehicles, and portable devices, addressing the intermittent nature of solar and wind power [12,13]. Advances in materials science, such as improved electrodes and electrolytes, aim to enhance energy density, efficiency, and cycle life, driving the evolution of clean energy solutions [14-16].

Catalysts play a crucial role in energy storage applications by enhancing the efficiency and sustainability of key chemical reactions. In electrochemical systems like fuel cells and batteries, catalysts accelerate reactions such as oxygen reduction and hydrogen evolution, reducing energy

losses and improving overall performance. Platinum-based catalysts, for example, are widely used due to their high activity and stability [17-19]. By lowering activation energy, catalysts enable faster reaction rates at lower temperatures, making energy storage systems more efficient and cost-effective. Furthermore, advancements in catalyst design, such as developing durable and cost-efficient materials, are pivotal for advancing renewable energy technologies and enabling a cleaner energy future [20-23].

Platinum-based catalysts play a critical role in energy storage technologies, particularly in fuel cells and metal-air batteries [24]. Renowned for their exceptional catalytic activity and stability, platinum catalysts efficiently facilitate the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), a key process in electrochemical energy conversion [25]. However, their high cost and scarcity pose challenges, prompting research into advanced support materials that enhance performance and durability while reducing platinum usage [26]. Innovations like carbon-based supports, metal oxides, and nanostructured materials improve catalytic activity, stability, and resistance to degradation [27,28]. These advancements make platinum-based catalysts more efficient and cost-effective, contributing significantly to the development of sustainable energy storage systems [29-32].

The enhancement of platinum (Pt)-based catalysts through advanced support materials has revolutionized their performance in electrochemical applications, particularly in oxygen reduction reactions (ORRs) [33]. Support materials, such as carbon-based structures, metal oxides, and conductive polymers, play a crucial role in improving the dispersion, stability, and activity of Pt nanoparticles [34]. These materials provide high surface area, conductivity, and strong interactions with Pt, reducing aggregation and enhancing catalytic

efficiency [35]. Additionally, some supports introduce synergistic effects, boosting ORR kinetics and durability [36]. Innovations in nanostructured and hybrid supports are paving the way for more cost-effective and sustainable Pt-based catalysts, vital for energy storage and fuel cell technologies [37,38].

Graphene has emerged as an excellent support material for platinum (Pt)-based catalysts, particularly in oxygen reduction reactions (ORR) for electrochemical energy storage applications [39]. Its exceptional properties, including high surface area, outstanding electrical conductivity, and robust mechanical strength, enhance catalyst dispersion and electron transfer [40]. Graphene's two-dimensional structure enables uniform Pt nanoparticle distribution, reducing agglomeration and maximizing active sites for ORR [41]. Moreover, its chemical stability and resistance to corrosion extend catalyst durability, addressing challenges faced by traditional carbon supports [42]. Functionalization of graphene further improves Pt interaction, optimizing catalytic performance [43]. As a result, graphene-supported Pt catalysts show significant potential in advancing fuel cells and batteries [44].

In this work, using graphene as a supporting material for the platinum-based catalysts used for electrochemical energy storage is presented. The graphene support layer was synthesized by UV laser irradiation of graphene buffer layer on silicon carbide surface.

2. Experimental Work

Epitaxial graphene samples were prepared through thermal decomposition on silicon carbide (SiC) in a custom experimental furnace. Graphene growth occurred on the silicon face of 4H-SiC(0001), using a graphite crucible placed in a vacuum environment (10^{-6} mbar) and heated to 1500°C for 10 minutes. This process produced graphene surfaces consisting of a mixture of monolayer and bilayer graphene, with monolayer coverage exceeding 70%. The graphene's quality, composition, uniformity, and thickness were initially assessed using atomic force microscopy and Raman spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. (1), before platinum deposition, the graphene samples were irradiated with UV laser pulses (~ 1 J/cm² fluence) to perform rapid annealing, followed by 20 minutes thermal annealing at 700°C to remove contaminants and ensure clean surfaces. Temperatures were monitored using a thermocouple in direct contact with the sample holder, cross-verified with a pyrometer. The high quality of the graphene films was confirmed by atomically resolved scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) images.

Platinum was deposited onto graphene at room temperature using an electron-beam evaporator, with STM imaging used to calibrate Pt coverage. Hydrogenation of Pt clusters for thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS) was performed by exposing the samples to molecular deuterium at 10^{-5} mbar and

27°C for 10 minutes. Deuterium, being chemically identical to hydrogen but less abundant in the vacuum chamber, provided a better signal-to-noise ratio for TDS. During TDS measurements, samples were placed in front of a mass spectrometer, heated at a constant rate of $\sim 15^\circ\text{C/s}$ to target temperatures (400–750°C), and monitored via the mass 4 channel.




Fig. (1) Scheme of the experimental system used in this work

3. Results and Discussion

Figure (2) shows the temperature-dependent D₂ desorption behaviors for various Pt coverages. The adsorption of deuterium (D₂) on Pt-covered graphene was investigated and analyzed with increasing Pt content. For this purpose, more Pt was gradually deposited onto the sample. After each deposition, the sample was exposed to molecular hydrogen (D₂) and TDS was performed. The sample was heated to 450°C, at which, the Pt island shape as well as the distribution remained mostly unchanged, as revealed by the STM results.




Fig. (2) TDS spectra of D₂ desorption from Pt clusters supported on graphene. Pt coverage ranging from 0 to 5 ML

Higher temperatures may cause a significant, irreversible morphological changes, so the 450°C was the highest limit of temperature for TDS measurements. Pure graphene (0% Pt) exposed to D₂ displayed minimal adsorption, which agrees with the fact that D₂ does not adsorb onto defect-free graphene at room temperature. The D₂ desorption spectra of Pt clusters on graphene, shown in Fig. (2), exhibit a peak around 185°C, followed by a broad shoulder with an

increasing signal. As Pt coverage increases, the peak at 185°C becomes more pronounced.

4. Conclusion

In concluding remarks, using epitaxial graphene as a supporting material for the platinum-based catalysts used for electrochemical energy storage is presented. The epitaxy of graphene support layer was carried out by UV laser irradiation of graphene buffer layer on silicon carbide surface. Results showed that the desorption spectra of deuterium on platinum clusters on graphene exhibit a peak at around 185°C, followed by a broad shoulder with an increasing signal. As platinum coverage increases, the peak at 185°C becomes more pronounced.

References

- [1] H. Yuan et al., *Electrochem. Commun.*, 100 (2019) 52-59.
- [2] M. Lim et al., *Sens. Actuat. Rep.*, 8 (2024) 100247.
- [3] C.P. Keshavananda Prabhu, K.R. Naveen, and J. Hur, *RSC Appl. Interfaces*, 1(2) (2024) 301-312.
- [4] K. Baruah and P. Deb, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 3(13) (2021) 3681-3707.
- [5] S.J. Martínez et al., *Inorganica Chimica Acta*, 566 (2024) 122008.
- [6] S. Jia et al., *Carbon Trends*, 16 (2024) 100374.
- [7] J. Cai et al., *iScience*, 26(5) (2023) 106730.
- [8] S.J. Gutic et al., *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, 14(9) (2019) 8532-8543.
- [9] A. Vulcu et al., *Appl. Surf. Sci. Adv.*, 21 (2024) 100609.
- [10] J. Li et al., *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 298 (2021) 120510.
- [11] N. Khossossi and P. Dey, *J. Energy Chem.*, 100 (2025) 665-673.
- [12] H. Ma et al., *Process Safety Environ. Protect.*, 192 (2024) 358-377.
- [13] I.Y. Khairani et al., *J. Catal.*, 439 (2024) 115771.
- [14] Y. Huang et al., *Arabian J. Chem.*, 17(2) (2024) 105533.
- [15] S. Dhali et al., *Mater. Adv.*, 5(9) (2024) 3771-3782.
- [16] S. Rowshanzamir et al., *Results in Chem.*, 7 (2024) 101383.
- [17] Z. Liu et al., *Cell Reports Phys. Sci.*, 4(2) (2023) 101256.
- [18] S. Calabuig-Mompó, D. Cazorla-Amorós, and E. Morallón, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 955 (2024) 118069.
- [19] K. Cysewska et al., *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.*, 14 (2023) 420-433.
- [20] A. Mollar-Cuni, S. Martín, G. Guisado-Barrios, and J.A. Mata, *Carbon*, 206 (2023) 314-324.
- [21] A. Manimozhi et al., *Chem. Phys. Impact*, 10 (2025) 100779.
- [22] X. Zeng, S.K. Mitra, and X. Li, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 86 (2024) 577-585.
- [23] L.M. Mohlala, T.-C. Jen, and P.A. Olubambi, *Procedia Manufact.*, 35 (2019) 1250-1255.
- [24] X. Chen et al., *Nanoscale Adv.*, 4(6) (2022) 1639-1648.
- [25] S. Rodriguez-Miguel et al., *Heliyon*, 10(10) (2024) e31230.
- [26] J. Chen et al., *Chem. Eng. J.*, 487 (2024) 150670.
- [27] A. Yagmur Goren et al., *Energy*, 315 (2025) 134257.
- [28] I. Bardarov et al., *Electrochimica Acta*, (2025) 145754.
- [29] C. Deepa, L. Rajeshkumar, and M. Ramesh, *J. Mater. Res. Technol.*, 19 (2022) 2657-2694.
- [30] D. Mladenović et al., *J. Alloys Comp.*, 905 (2022) 164156.
- [31] W. Ji et al., *RSC Adv.*, 12(10) (2022) 5797-5806.
- [32] Z.A.C. Ramli et al., *Alexandria Eng. J.*, 76 (2023) 701-733.
- [33] F.M.B. Gusmão et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 71 (2024) 763-774.
- [34] S.F. Rastegar et al., *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 13(17) (2023) 5120-5130.
- [35] A.G. Kamaha Tchekep, V. Suryanarayanan, and D.K. Pattanayak, *Carbon Trends*, 16 (2024) 100393.
- [36] C. Vijina, K.P. Majitha, and S.P. Damodaran, *Results in Eng.*, 20 (2023) 101444.
- [37] K. Chida et al., *Green Chem.*, 26(15) (2024) 8758-8767.
- [38] M.R. Shaik et al., *Arabian J. Chem.*, 17(4) (2024) 105718.
- [39] S. Fajardo et al., *Chem. Eng. J.*, 461 (2023) 142053.
- [40] S. Santra et al., *RSC Sustain.*, 2(6) (2024) 1631-1674.
- [41] A. Letona-Elizburu et al., *Sustain. Mater. Technol.*, 39 (2024) e00835.
- [42] P. Sapkota, S. Lim, and K.-F. Aguey-Zinsou, *RSC Sustain.*, 1(2) (2023) 368-377.
- [43] S.R. Ashok Kumar et al., *Hybrid Adv.*, 5 (2024) 100168.
- [44] Y. Balasooriya et al., *Heliyon*, 9(5) (2023) e15989.